







STATE ROUTE (SR) 37 POLICY COMMITTEE

9:30 a.m., Thursday, July 20, 2018 Foley Cultural Center, Lakeside Conference Room 1499 N. Camino Alto Vallejo, CA California 94590

MEETING AGENDA

1. CALL TO ORDER AND INTRODUCTIONS

Chair David Rabbitt County of Sonoma

- 2. OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT
- 3. CONSENT CALENDAR

A. Minutes of the March 1, 2018 SR 37 Policy Committee Meeting Recommendation:

Anthony Adams, STA

Approve SR 37 Policy Committee March 1, 2018 Policy Committee Meeting Minutes

Pg. 3

- 4. INFORMATION ITEMS
 - A. Summary of Public Outreach Corridor Plan

Becky Frank, Caltrans

B. Corridor Segments Update:

Segment B:

SR 37 PSR/PDS Progress, Alternatives and Schedule

Kevin Chen, MTC

Segment C:

o SR 37 Fairgrounds Interchange & Transit Stops Update

Janet Adams, STA

Segment A:

o Segment A Ultimate Design Study Update

Nick Nguyen, TAM

- 5. PRESENTATIONS
 - A. Resiliency by Design: San Pablo Bay Corridor

Erik Prince, Common Ground Thor Andersen, Common Ground

SR 37 Policy Committee Members:

Sonoma Elected Officials

Marin Elected Officials

Bob Sampayan, Mayor City of Vallejo Jim Spering, MTC Commissioner Erin Hannigan, Solano County Board of Supervisor

Solano Elected Officials

David Rabbitt, Sonoma County Board of Supervisor Jake Mackenzie, MTC Commissioner Susan Gorin, Sonoma County Board of Supervisor Damon Connolly, MTC Commissioner Judy Arnold, Marin County Board of Supervisor Stephanie Moulton-Peters, Councilmember, City of Mill Valley Napa Elected Officials

Alfredo Pedroza, MTC Commissioner Belia Ramos, Napa County Board of Supervisor Leon Garcia, Mayor City of American Canyon

6. ACTION ITEMS

A. Future Meeting Schedule 9:30 a.m., Thursday, November 8, 2018 9:30 a.m., Thursday, March 7, 2019 Daryl Halls, STA

7. COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS

Committee

8. FUTURE TOPICS

November 8, 2018

- SR 37 PSR/PDS Update Report
- RM 3 Funding Priorities
- Update on Transit Corridor Studies

March 7, 2019

- SR 37 PSR/PDS Progress Final Report
- Environmental Phase Discussion Segment B Public Scoping Meeting

9. ADJOURNMENT

Next SR 37 Policy Committee Meeting: November 8, 2018 at Foley Cultural Center in Vallejo.

Future Meeting Schedule (Pending Approval)
9:30 a.m., Thursday, November 8, 2018 in Vallejo
9:30 a.m., Thursday, March 7, 2019 in Vallejo
9:30 a.m., Thursday, June 6, 2019, TBD
9:30 a.m., September 5, 2019, TBD









State Route (SR) 37 Policy Committee Meeting Minutes 9:30 a.m., Thursday, March 1, 2018 Foley Cultural Center Vallejo, CA 94592

1. CALL TO ORDER/INTRODUCTIONS

Committee Chairperson, Supervisor David Rabbit, called the SR 37 Policy Committee Meeting to Order at approximately 9:32 a.m.

POLICY COMMITTEE

MEMBERS PRESENT: Judy Arnold Marin County Supervisor

Damon Connolly MTC Commissioner, Marin County Supervisor

Leon Gia Mayor, City of American Canyon Susan Gorin Sonoma County Supervisor

Erin Hannigan, Vice Chair Solano County Board of Supervisors

Jake Mackenzie MTC Commissioner, City Council, Rohnert Park

Stephanie Moulton-Peters Councilmember, City of Mill Valley

Alfredo Pedroza MTC Commissioner, Napa County Supervisor
David Rabbitt, Chair MTC Commissioner, Sonoma County Supervisor

Belia Ramos Napa County Supervisor Bob Sampayan Mayor, City of Vallejo

Jim Spering MTC Commissioner, Solano County Supervisor

POLICY COMMITTEE

MEMBER ABSENT: None.

EXECUTIVE

DIRECTORS PRESENT: Daryl Halls STA

Kate Miller NVTA Suzanne Smith SCTA Dianne Steinhauser TAM

EXECUTIVE

DIRECTORS ABSENT: None.

OTHERS PRESENT: Anthony Adams STA

Melissa Apuya Assembly Member Marc Levine's Office

Tom Bartee Senator Bill Dodd's Office

James Bezek Solano County

Steve Birdlebough
Adam Brand
SCTA
Patricia Brown
John By
ECA
Davis Carlson
Joan Chaplick
Rick Coates
SCTA
Resident
BECA
MIGCOM
RICCOM
ESA
EcoRing

Jessica Davenport State Coastal Conservatory

Mike Davis ICF

Elizabeth Dippel Sonoma-Marin Area Rail Transit (SMART)

Bill Emlen Solano County
Dick Fahey Caltrans

Rick Fraites Marin Audubon Society

Maureen Gaffney ABAG/SF Bay Trail
Joseph Green-Heffern Solano County Resident

Tim GroseWSPRobert GuerreroSTASissel B. HerberHNTBJohn KenyonTy Lin

Jim Laughlin Solano County

David McCrossan KKCS
Ashley Nguyen MTC
Nick Nguyen TAM

David Oster Sonoma Resident Elizabeth Patterson Mayor, City of Benicia

Cory Peterson STA
Erik Prince TLS/RBD
Barbara Salzman MAS
Danielle Schmitz NVTA

Jeff Shewmaker Linsay Transportation
Jack Sweareugen Friends of SMART
Brian Swedberg Berg Holdings

Craig Tackabery Marin County Public Works

Justin Vandever AECOM Francesca Vantaggato UC Davis Joy Villafranca AECOM

Kendall Webster Sonoma Land Trust

2. OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

No comments were made.

3. CONSENT CALENDAR

A. Minutes of the January 4, 2018 SR 37 Policy Committee Meeting

Recommendation:

Approve SR 37 Policy Committee January 4, 2018 meeting minutes.

By consensus, the January 4, 2018 SR 37 Policy Committee meeting minutes were approved.

4. PRESENTATION

A. Resiliency by Design: San Pablo Bay Region

Erik Prince and Thor Andersen, both with Common Ground, presented.

Fraser Schilling, UC Davis, asked how the decision to move the roadway back rather than armoring the roadway was made. Erik Prince replied with a discussion on resiliency, emphasizing the need to retreat when keeping existing resources is not an option, to minimize intensive engineering, and to embrace the natural environment.

An unidentified speaker asked what the five most significant changes to be made to SR 37 were. The Common Ground representatives replied by emphasizing the great need to fix SR 37 due to its negative impact on local communities and the need to plan for the next 100 years, considering transportation needs beyond those of cars and drivers.

5. INFORMATION ITEMS:

A. Caltrans SR 37 SHOPP Update

Dan McElhinney, Caltrans, provided an update on the State Highway Operations Protection and Programming (SHOPP) near term improvement plans. He also supplied the SHOPP PID list and noted that Roundabout at SR37 and SR121, which is scheduled to be constructed in FY 2025/26 would cost an estimated \$40M.

Fraser Schilling, UC Davis, asked if there was a timeline for raising SR 37, and how the aforementioned projects work together. Dan McElhinney replied that bridge widening and profile adjustment will be necessary but assured Mr. Schilling that when the long term project occurs it will be integrated. He emphasized that this is a safety project.

Supervisor Susan Gorin commented that SR121 can turn into a one lane road due to heavy rains. She wants to talk about SR121 going north. Mr. McElhinney mentioned that SB1 will provide funding for projects like SR121

B. Transit Update: Origin and Destination Ridership Study

Kate Miller, NVTA reported that 19% of subjects carpool and asserted that there is a propensity for carpool/vanpool/transit feasibility. She noted that a cost estimate would be available at the next meeting.

Dave Oster asked whether or not the NVTA was coordinating with census date, looking at status quo scores, and examining HOV data. Ms. Miller replied affirmatively.

An unidentified speaker inquired about train integration in the ridership study. Ms. Gorin answered that the NVTA was not prepared to answer train questions at this time, but assured the speaker that they were coordinating with SMART to conduct a feasibility study.

C. Corridor Segments Update:

- 1. Daryl Halls, STA, provided an update on Segment C and the SR 37/Fairgrounds Drive Interchange and SolanoExpress bus stop.
- 2. Jean Finney, Caltrans, provided an update on Segment B and discussed the SR 37 Project Initiative Document. Finney explained that a PID document is required for all projects on state highways over \$3M. Caltrans will use a streamlined PID (PID CPDR-PDS) and STA will reimburse Caltrans.

Daryl Halls thanked Caltrans staff and noted that the PID needs to be completed by the end of the calendar year.

John McKenzie asked when Caltrans would sign off on the PID. Jean Finney replied that Caltrans expects to sign off on schedule, at the end of the year.

Dave Oster critiqued the retreat plan, calling it half-baked and noted that the PID is one alternative, door closed. Jean Finney addressed these concerns and commented that the PID will address numerous alternatives and will be a group effort to address the purpose and needs of the project. Dave Oster asked how alternatives would be identified. Finney responded that alternatives would be identified by counties. Dave Oster expressed his continued reservations and total lack of confidence that comprehensive alternatives will be addressed during the PID.

Steve Bindlebough asked if bedrock was identified during the PID. Jean Finney replied that it had not been identified during this phase. Ashley Nguyen, MTC, added that MTC will perform a limited geo-technical analysis.

An unidentified speaker asked about the scoping process for alternatives. Ms. Finney replied that Caltrans was looking at which alternatives are most feasible.

Patricia Tuttle-Brown asked if rail is an option. Ashley Nguyen responded that MTC is working with SMART to make SR37 Segment B a multimodal corridor.

Suzanne Smith noted that a similar study was complete for Hwy 101 and asked if the studies could build off one another. David Rabbit replied that the fact that it was not explicitly included in PID did not preclude it from being studied in parallel.

Susan Gorin said that she understood by these comments that rail is needed on this segment and asked if it would be possible to do another PID for rail. Jean Finney responded that this was not possible because rail is not on the Caltrans ROW.

Jake McKenzie asked whether SMART rail is looking at SR37 Segment B as part of the state rail plan. Daryl Halls replied that they are looking at three studies: STA is leading the water ferry, NVTA is leading the bus OD study, and SMART, if they have the funding, is interested in the rail study.

An unidentified speaker questioned the project's focus on moving more vehicles, noting that climate change is worsening and additional vehicles will exacerbate its effects. Dave Schonberg responded, emphasizing the need for a master document that does not result in each mode fighting each other.

Fraser Schilling commented that previous studies had looked at different alignments, noted that this corridor plan proposed parallel alignment, and asked if the PID could examine other alignment options. Jean Finney replied affirmatively, emphasizing that the PID will look at a variety of alternatives.

Mayor Elizabeth Patterson requested that the guiding principles be provided on the next agenda for adoption. She also commented that the PID focused only on the road, calling this practice archaic, and noted that is a state problem, so looking for a regional solution was taking on too much of a burden to address the issue.

3. Justin Vandever, AECOM, provided an update on the Segment A Levee and Shoreline analysis.

An unidentified speaker asked that the study over the entire corridor, not just Segment A. Diane Steinhausser responded that due to limited funding for this study, expanding it would be overstepping. She noted that TAM plans to resume the study with a recent grant from CTC to attempt to fine-tune this study. TAM will talk about what improvements are needed and when; the next presentation will include a discussion of what to do with the road versus levee management.

John Vasquez asked whether or not AECOM had already identified the owners of the levees. Justin Vandever affirmed that the Levee Ownership Inventory was complete for all levee types.

Jim Spering asked if AECOM was looking into a comprehensive study of all levees, including new or improved ones. Diane Steinhauser responded that TAM is not sure that re-engineering 11 miles of levees is the right path. TAM will look at costs but don't have an exact budget at this time. Spering questioned why the project requires 11 miles of levees for three miles of road, rather than three miles of levees.

Dianne Steinhauser commented that the new study will look into that and other design alternatives.

Susan Gorin requested that TAM include SR 121 levee in these studies.

David Oster noted the large number of levees and owners and asked whether or not there was a legal right to force the owners to maintain or improve these levees. Justin Vandever responded that this question remained open and unresolved.

Dave Schoenberger emphasized that 100-year storms need to be considered.

An unidentified speaker added that the plan must account for SLR, tides, and storm surge, not just bayside. Vandever cautioned that AECOM did not have the funding to study all factors.

David Babbitt remarked that many levee owners already maintain their levees.

4. Nick Nguyen, TAM discussed the SR Shore Lane Improvement Strategy and provided a Segment A Conceptual Design update.

An unidentified speaker complemented Mr. Nguyen on his "compelling" presentation.

Steve Harcord asked whether or not TAM had located bedrock for Segment A. Nguyen responded that they had not.

Jim Spering asked why a long-term solution is needed now? He asked whether or not the project would be done in parallel, and asked about the timing for the project. Nick Nguyen replied that TAM needed to start something soon and suggested that the group consider the levees or parts of the roadway for improvements. Spering cautioned that the timing was important due to the dearth of resources, asserting that work on Segment A could commence only when improvements to Segment B were completed.

David Rabbitt agreed, noting that phasing is important with limited resources.

Fraser Schilling observed that Segment B is more prone to congestion and less SLR flooding where Segment A is more in danger of immediate flooding due to the proximity of the levees.

D. SR 37 Survey and Focus Group Results

Joan Chaplick, MIG, discussed the survey and focus group results.

Susan Gorin asked if the SR37 improvements would change commute patterns. Ms. Chaplick cautioned that some people cannot take transit due to their job location.

Mayor Elizabeth Patterson noted that RM3 funding helps this project and requested that PowerPoints of these results be provided. Ms. Chaplick responded that PowerPoints were already publically available on the MIG website.

6. ACTION ITEMS

A. SR 37 Corridor Plan

Recommendation: Forward SR 37 Corridor Plan to the Transportation Authorities of Marin, Napa, Solano and Sonoma for review and comment.

B. Response to Comments

Kevin Chen noted that the four workshops had generated a lot of comments. He remarked that TransDef's comments were included, even though they had received them late.

Daryl Halls proposed that each County Transportation Authority (CTA) bring these comments and responses to each of the four CTA boards.

Jessica Davenport from the Baylands commented that a lot of good work was not included in the document. She argued against barriers and walls and cautioned against short-term improvements that might preclude long-term improvements. She also asserted the need to develop a comprehensive design and to delegate authority to staff to address factual deficiencies.

An unidentified speaker agreed with d Ms. Davenport's remarks and suggestions.

On a motion by Supervisor Spering and a second by Council Member Mackenzie, Policy Committee approved staff recommendation.

7. COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS

No comments were offered.

8. FUTURE TOPICS

- SR 37 Project Initiation Document
- STA Water Transit Study
- Origin and Destination Ridership Study

9. ADJOURNMENT

Next SR 37 Policy Committee Meeting: May 3, 2018 at Foley Cultural Center in Vallejo.